

Strategy for Providing High-Quality Transit Services

ROUTE 3 CORRIDOR





Table of Contents

| | | | |
|---|-----------|---|-----------|
| 1.0 Introduction | 1 | Transit Conditions | 22 |
| Overview of the Study | 1 | Facilities | 22 |
| Summary of Recommendations | 2 | Carpool, Vanpool, and Express Bus Services | 22 |
| | | VRE Shuttle Service | 22 |
| | | Local Services | 23 |
| | | Figure 2.1 3: Existing Transit Services along the Route 3 Corridor | 23 |
| 2.0 Existing Conditions | 5 | Planned and Programmed Transportation Improvements in the Study Area | 24 |
| Introduction | 5 | FAMPO Long Range Transportation Plan | 24 |
| Travel Characteristics | 5 | VDOT Six-Year Improvement Plan | 25 |
| Figure 2.1: 2006 Population Density | 6 | FAMPO Transit Policy Plan | 25 |
| Figure 2.2: 2035 Population Density | 7 | | |
| Figure 2.3: 2006 Household Density | 8 | | |
| Figure 2.4: 2035 Household Density | 9 | | |
| Figure 2.5: 2000 Income Distribution | 10 | | |
| Figure 2.6: 2000 Age Distribution | 11 | | |
| Figure 2.7: 2006 Employment Density | 12 | | |
| Figure 2.8: 2035 Employment Density | 13 | | |
| Figure 2.9: 2000 Automobile Availability | 14 | | |
| Figure 2.10: Existing Land Use | 15 | | |
| Travel, Demographic, and Land Use Conclusions | 16 | | |
| General Transportation Conditions | 16 | | |
| | | 3.0 Potential Solutions | 27 |
| Vehicular Conditions | 18 | Introduction | 27 |
| Street Network | 18 | Overview of Relevant Transit Modes | 27 |
| Daily Traffic | 18 | Local and Express Bus Service | 28 |
| Figure 2.11: Existing (2008) Traffic Volumes | 18 | Bus Rapid Transit | 28 |
| Intersection Operations | 19 | Streetcar | 30 |
| Figure 2.12: Existing Intersection Level of Service | 19 | Light Rail Transit | 30 |
| | | Summary of Transit Mode Elements and Considerations | 31 |
| Pedestrian and Bicycle Conditions | 20 | Running Ways and Lane Use | 31 |
| Network Quality and Accommodation | 20 | Intelligent Transportation Systems | 33 |
| Level of Comfort for Pedestrians | 21 | Spot and Section Measures | 34 |
| Level of Comfort for Bicycling | 21 | Transit Stations and Stops | 35 |
| General ADA Compliance | 21 | Fare Collection | 37 |
| Summary of Conditions for Pedestrians and Bicycles | 21 | Transit Vehicles | 38 |
| | | Passenger Information Systems | 38 |
| | | System Branding | 39 |
| | | Land Use and Density | 39 |
| | | Capital Costs | 40 |
| | | Corridor | 40 |
| | | Stops and Stations | 40 |
| | | Transit Vehicles | 40 |

Project Team

Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (FAMPO)

Lloyd Robinson

Andy Waple

Marti Donley

Diana Utz

City of Fredericksburg

Spotsylvania County

Virginia Department of Transportation

Fredericksburg Regional Transit

Project Consultants

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

13221 Woodland Park Road

Suite 400

Herndon, Virginia 20171

Project Number: 110183006

In Association with:

Nelson | Nygaard Consulting Associates

116 Pine Tree Lane

Fayston, Vermont 05673

Table of Contents (continued)

| | | | |
|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| 4.0 Corridor Modification Alternatives | 41 | Transit Signal Priority | 68 |
| Introduction | 41 | Pedestrian and Bicycle Enhancements | 69 |
| Alternatives Approach | 41 | Sidewalks | 69 |
| Overview of Alternatives | 41 | Other Pedestrian Amenities | 69 |
| Alternative 1 | 42 | Bicycle Facilities | 69 |
| Figure 4.1: Alternative 1 | 43 | Transit Station and Stop Amenities | 70 |
| Alternative 2 | 44 | Figure 5.14: Potential Station Type Assignments | 72 |
| Figure 4.2: Alternative 2 | 45 | Transit-Friendly Development Practices | 73 |
| Alternative 3 | 46 | 6.0 Implementation | 75 |
| Figure 4.3: Alternative 3 | 47 | Overview | 75 |
| Evaluation of Alternatives | 48 | Partnership Approach | 75 |
| Summary of Evaluation | 49 | Follow-up | 75 |
| 5.0 Recommended Strategy | 51 | Phasing | 76 |
| Introduction | 51 | Opinion of Cost | 76 |
| Overview | 51 | Next Steps | 76 |
| Figure 5.1: Generalized Recommended Strategy | 52 | | |
| Figure 5.2: Corridor Concept, Gordon Road Vicinity | 53 | | |
| Figure 5.3: Corridor Concept, Old Plan Road Vicinity | 54 | | |
| Figure 5.4: Corridor Concept, Chancellorsvillage Lane Vicinity | 55 | | |
| Figure 5.5: Corridor Concept, Rutherford Drive Vicinity | 56 | | |
| Figure 5.6: Corridor Concept, Salem Church Road Vicinity | 57 | | |
| Figure 5.7: Corridor Concept, Heatherstone Drive Vicinity | 58 | | |
| Figure 5.8: Corridor Concept, Central Park Boulevard Vicinity | 59 | | |
| Figure 5.9: Corridor Concept, Carl D. Silver Parkway Vicinity | 60 | | |
| Figure 5.10: Corridor Concept, I-95 Vicinity | 61 | | |
| Figure 5.11: Corridor Concept, Altoona Drive Vicinity | 62 | | |
| Figure 5.12: Corridor Concept, Westwood Shopping Center Vicinity | 63 | | |
| Figure 5.13: Corridor Concept, US 1 Vicinity | 64 | | |
| Transit/High-Occupancy Vehicle Lane | 65 | | |
| Areas with Existing Right-Turn Lanes | 65 | | |
| Construction of New Transit/HOV Lanes | 66 | | |
| Traffic Signal Coordination | 67 | | |

This study was funded by the Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization and a Multimodal Planning Grant provided by the Virginia Department of Transportation.